



Sh. Gagandeep Singh Advocate,

Chamber No 8039, 8th Floor,
New Court Complex, Ludhiana

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Dayanand Medical College & Hospital,
Ludhiana

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Incharge, Dayanand Medical College & Hospital,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3984 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh. Gagandeep Singh

Respondent's representative: Sh. Satnam Singh (Advocate)9872837100

ORDER

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed appeal/complaint case in the Commission dated 09.12.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent representative, Adv. Sh. Satnam Singh pleaded that D.M.C & Hospital (Ldh.) is unaided private institution and is not receiving any aid from the government are covered under the ambit of RTI Act 2005. A letter dated 19.01.2021 vide diary no. 1414 is received in the Commission from the respondent authority wherein the above mentioned statement are mentioned in detail. This letter is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.
3. Appellant, Sh. Gagandeep Singh on this contended that respondent authority is malafidely denying the request for information and misleading the court. He further requested for an adjournment as he needs some time prove that the D.M.C & Hospital (Ldh.) is not completely an unaided private institution.
4. In the view of above and with regard to the prayer of appellant the matter is adjourned to **15.03.2021 at 11:30** to be heard through personal hearing at **PSiC, Chandigarh**. Further, the appellant is advised to make a written submission to the Commission supporting to his case (as said above) before the next date of hearing, failing to which the ex-parte decision will be taken.

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.02.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Balbir Singh, (8146309330)

S/o Sh. Mahinder Singh, VPO, Jodhewal,
Tehsil Samrala, Distt Ludhiana

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Audit Officer, Cooperative Societies,
Ludhiana

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Auditor, Cooperative Societies, PB,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh

Appeal Case No. 4060 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh. Balbir Singh

Respondent: Sh. Manmohan Singh (PIO)8146300223

ORDER

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed appeal/complaint case in the Commission dated 15.12.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Sh. Manmohan Singh pleaded that sought information has already been supplied to the appellant. A copy of which is received in the commission vide diary no. 2280 dated 29.01.2021. This letter is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.
3. Appellant, Sh. Balbir Singh contended that partial information has been supplied and he is not satisfied with that.
4. *The Commission finds that the RTI application is not legible or readable and difficult to make out what information is required by the appellant.*

After hearing both the parties and perusal of the records placed in the case file the appellant is advised/ ordered to point out to **point out discrepancies**(in written form) in the information so supplied by the respondent, within 10 days from the issue of this order under intimation to the commission. The respondent shall make good the deficiencies, if the same be pointed out by the appellant. In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission without fail.

Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

5. To come up on **15.03.2021 at 11:30 AM** and to be heard through personal hearing at **PSIC, Chandigarh.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.02.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rajinder Kuamr, (9855581935)

H No 230, Street No 5, Tagore Nagar,Appellant/Complainant
PO BastiGujan, Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SDM, Dera Baba Nanak,
DisttGurdaspur..

.....Respondent

Complaint Case 943 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: None

ORDER

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed appeal/complaint case in the Commission dated 10.12.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. As both parties are absent, therefore, the case is adjourned to date **15.03.2021** through personal hearing at PSIC Chandigarh, **11:30 AM** with the directions to respondent PIO, to file a reply / supply information before the next date of hearing. Failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI act will be initiated

Chandigarh
Dated: 08.02.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Hardeep Singh, (9464116767)

S/o Sh. Kartar Singh, F-22/488,

Mustafabad, Batala Road, Amritsar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, Guru Gobind Singh Medical College,
Faridkot.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal, Guru Gobind Singh Medical College,
Faridkot.

Appeal Case No. 3642 & 3644 of 2020

Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh. Hardeep Singh

Respondent: Adv. Rajneesh Garg

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference to previous order dated 19.01.2021 vide which both parties were absent and the respondent authority was directed to supply a reply/information pertaining to the RTI application before the next date of hearing. Accordingly the case is fixed for today. As both parties are same, therefore a single speaking order is being passed in the above mentioned cases.
2. With compliance of the previous order a written submission from the respondent authority is received by the bench of undersigned via email dated 01.02.2021 (same email for both the cases) wherein it is mentioned that the information sought by the appellant cannot be provided as it not specific, as appellant has not mentioned the name of employee and period of information, which is required to the appellant.

3. Post Deliberation:

After hearing both parties and going through the written submission made by the respondent authority the Commission orders the respondent Public Information officer to submit the total number of concerned employees (as mentioned in the RTI application) working in the GGSMC&H, Faridkot before the next date of hearing positively.

On other hand the appellant is advised/ordered to submit the purpose of seeking the information in written form before the next date of hearing, failing to which the cases will be decided on merit.

"Whenever information is sought under the RTI Act, disclosure of an interest in the information sought would be necessary to establish the bonafides of applicant".

~ Justice Prathiba M. Singh, Delhi High Court

4. To come up on **15.03.2021** through personal hearing at PSIC Chandigarh, **11:30 AM.**

Chandigarh

Dated: 08.02.2021

Sd/-

(Maninder Singh Patti)

State Information Commissioner